Eight Zn(II) and Cd(II) complexes based on the aromatic C-centered triangular multicarboxylate and N-donor mixed ligands†
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Eight new Zn(II) and Cd(II) complexes based on the aromatic multicarboxylate and N-donor mixed ligands, namely $\text{Zn}_2(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy)(OH)$, $\text{Zn}_3(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy)(DMF)$, $\text{Zn}_2(\text{TCOPM})(\text{p} \text{py})(\text{phen})$, $\text{Cd}_3(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy)$, $\text{Cd}_3(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy)$, $\text{Cd}_3(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy)$, and $\text{Cd}_3(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy)$ were synthesized through a solvothermal reaction. The $\text{z}_{\text{OH}}^{-}-\text{TCOPM}$ ligand is generated in situ by the nucleophilic addition of the TCOPM ligand. X-ray crystallographic analysis reveals that complexes 1–3, 5, and 8 exhibit a two-dimensional (2D) layered structure, while 4, 6, and 7 possess a 3D open framework. The coordination mode of TCOPM and the type of N-donors ligands have obvious effects on the final structures. The luminescence properties in the solid state of 1–4, 7, and 8 were also investigated.

1. Introduction

Recently, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have attracted a great deal of academic and commercial interest because of their intriguing structures and potential applications in gas storage and separation, catalysis, luminescence, electrochemical, and magnetic fields.1–11 Rapid development in the self-assembly of transition metal ions and multItropic organic ligands has yielded a wide variety of one-, two- and three-dimensional MOFs with interesting architectures and specific properties.12–14 Among them, aromatic carboxylic-based ligands have attracted much attention for their abilities to construct open-framework networks with a wide diversity of topologies, and pore shapes and sizes.15 On the other hand, the employment of mixed ligands such as aromatic multicarboxylate and N-donor ligands is the most efficient family to be an effective method for the fabrication of coordination polymers because of their various and flexible connection modes.17–21 It is well known that Zn(II) and Cd(II) with a 3d10 configuration not only exhibit appealing structural geometries varying from tetrahedron, trigonal-bipyramid and square-pyramid to octahedron, but also possess luminescence properties because of their low-energy charge-transfer excited states. So, Zn(II) and Cd(II) complexes are important criteria for luminescent properties to be used as biological imaging probes, sensors and electrochemistry.22–24

Taking above comments into account, the 3-connecting open-shell ligand based on a triscarboxylic group tris(p-carboxyphenyl)methane (TCOPM) was selected by our group because this ligand can generate diverse coordination polymers because of the flexible C-centered atom and various coordination modes. Unfortunately, metal–TCOPM complexes have been documented limitedly to date.25–27 With the purpose of understanding the coordination chemistry of TCOPM ligand and constructing novel complexes with intriguing structures and functionalities, we used in this work the C-centered triangular flexible TCOPM as O-donor ligand, and 2,2'-bipyridine (2,2'-bipy), 1,10-phenanthroline (phen), 2,2',6',2'-terpyridine (tpy), 4,4'-vinylpyridine (dpe), or 4,4'-bipyridine as N-donor ligands (Scheme 1) to react with transition-metal ions Zn(II) and Cd(II) through the solvothermal reaction, isolating eight new complexes, namely $\text{Zn}_2(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy)(OH):1.25DMF, $\text{Zn}_3(\text{TCOPM})(\text{phen})(\text{OH})$:DMF, $\text{Zn}_2(\text{TCOPM})(\text{tpy})(\text{OH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})$, $\text{Zn}_3(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy)(2H$_2$O), $\text{Cd}_3(\text{TCOPM})(\text{DMF})(\text{H}_2\text{O})$, $\text{Cd}_3(\text{TCOPM})(\text{dpe})$, $\text{Cd}_3(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy), $\text{Cd}_3(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy), and $\text{Cd}_3(\text{TCOPM})(2,2'$-bipy).
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2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and physical measurements

All chemicals used in the syntheses were obtained from J&K CHEMICA in a reagent grade and were used without further purification. Tris[p-carboxyphenyl]methane (TCOPM) was prepared according to a literature procedure. Infrared spectra as KBr pellets were recorded in the range of 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ on a Nicolet FT-1703X FT-IR spectrophotometer. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were taken on a Shimadzu XRD-6000 diffractometer with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm). Luminescent spectra in solid state were carried out with an Edinburgh Instruments FS5 spectrophotometer at room temperature.

2.2. Syntheses

2.2.1. Preparation of Zn₂(TCOPM)(2,2'-bipy)(OH)·1.25DMF (1). Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (33.4 mg, 0.1 mmol), TCOPM (37.6 mg, 0.1 mmol), and 2,2'-bipy (15.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) were dissolved in a solvent mixture of DMF/H₂O (9 mL/3 mL). The final mixture was placed in a Parr Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel (15 mL) and heated to 85 °C for 48 h. Colorless crystals were collected and washed with DMF (yield: 78% based on Zn salt). Anal. calc. for C₁₄₃H₂₁₅N₆O₈Zn₂: C, 55.96; H, 4.03; N, 5.92. Found: C, 55.88; H, 4.09; N, 5.87. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3450(vs), 2927(w), 1674(s), 1596(s), 1554(m), 1490(w), 1380(s), 1253(w), 1174(w), 1097(vs), 1016(w), 831(w), 775(m), 667(w), 522(w).

2.2.2. Preparation of Zn₂(TCOPM)(phen)(OH)·DMF (2). The preparation of 2 was similar to that of 1 except phen (18.0 mg, 0.1 mmol) was used instead of 2,2'-bipy. Colorless crystals were collected and washed with DMF (yield: 74% based on Zn salt). Anal. calc. for C₁₄₃H₂₁₅N₆O₈Zn₂: C, 56.22; H, 3.70; N, 5.32. Found: C, 56.19; H, 3.76; N, 5.51. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3425(m), 3058(w), 2931(w), 1932(w), 1668(s), 1598(s), 1564(s), 1514(m), 1392(s), 1249(w), 1087(m), 1016(vs), 848(m), 781(s), 729(m), 659(vs), 580(w), 526(w).

2.2.3. Preparation of Zn₃(2,2'-bipy)(TCOPM)(OH)(H₂O) (3). The preparation of 3 was similar to that of 1 except tpy (23.3 mg, 0.1 mmol) was used instead of 2,2'-bipy. Yellowish crystals were collected and washed with DMF (yield: 81% based on Zn salt). Anal. calc. for C₁₄₃H₂₁₅N₆O₈Zn₂: C, 56.37; H, 3.45; N, 5.33. Found: C, 56.29; H, 3.62; N, 5.45. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3402(vs), 3080(w), 2922(w), 1676(s), 1587(s), 15 629(m), 1450(m), 1375(s), 1247(m), 1166(w), 1097(w), 1014(vs), 829(w), 774(s), 665(w), 570(w), 516(w).

2.2.4. Preparation of Zn₃(2,2'-bipy)(TCOPM)(dpe)·2H₂O (4). The preparation of 4 was similar to that of 1 except dpe (18.2 mg, 0.1 mmol) was used instead of 2,2'-bipy. Yellowish crystals were collected and washed with DMF (yield: 72% based on Zn salt). Anal. calc. for C₃₇H₂₉N₃O₉Zn₂: C, 56.37; H, 3.38; N, 2.35. Found: C, 56.29; H, 3.52; N, 2.20. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3406(vs), 3057(w), 2925(w), 1656(s), 1587(s), 1537(s), 1396(s), 1249(w), 1184(w), 1099(vs), 1016(w), 835(m), 779(m), 715(w), 667(w), 522(w).

2.2.5. Preparation of Cd₃(TCOPM)₃(DMF)₃(H₂O)₂ (5). The preparation of 5 was similar to that of 1 except Cd(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (33.4 mg, 0.1 mmol) was used instead of Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and 2,2'-bipy was removed. Colorless crystals were collected and washed with DMF (yield: 68% based on Cd salt). Anal. calc. for C₅₀H₄₄Cd₃N₂O₁₆: C, 47.43; H, 3.50; N, 2.21. Found: C, 47.51; H, 3.48; N, 2.20. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3400(m), 3064(w), 2935(w), 1656(s), 1587(s), 1537(s), 1396(s), 1249(w), 1184(w), 1099(vs), 1016(w), 835(m), 779(m), 715(w), 667(w), 522(w).

2.2.6. Preparation of Cd₃(TCOPM)₃(4,4'-bipy) (6). The preparation of 6 was similar to that of 5 except adding 4,4'-bipy (15.6 mg, 0.1 mmol). Colorless crystals were collected and washed with DMF (yield: 64% based on Cd salt). Anal. calc. for C₅₄H₄₄Cd₃N₂O₁₆: C, 52.30; H, 2.76; N, 2.26. Found: C, 52.35; H, 2.74; N, 2.20. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3406(vs), 3057(w), 2926(w), 1604(m), 1537(m), 1398(s), 1220(w), 1182(w), 1014(w), 846(w), 778(vs), 713(w), 630(w).

2.2.7. Preparation of Cd₃(TCOPM)₃(dpe) (7). The preparation of 7 was similar to that of 5 except adding dpe (18.2 mg, 0.1 mmol). Colorless crystals were collected and washed with DMF (yield: 55% based on Cd salt). Anal. calc. for C₅₄H₄₄Cd₃N₂O₁₆: C, 47.43; H, 3.50; N, 2.21. Found: C, 53.12; H, 2.87; N, 2.21. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3438(vs), 3055(w), 2925(w), 1674(w), 1610(m), 1548(m), 1494(m), 1392(s), 1180(w), 1014(vs), 837(vs), 779(m), 711(w), 663(w), 553(w), 524(w).

2.2.8. Preparation of Cd₃(2,2'-bipy)(TCOPM)₃·2DMF (8). The preparation of 8 was similar to that of 5 except adding 2,2'-bipy (15.6 mg, 0.1 mmol). Colorless crystals were collected and washed with DMF (yield: 72% based on Cd salt). Anal. calc. for C₇₀H₇₁Cd₃N₆O₁₆: C, 53.40; H, 3.56; N, 5.34. Found: C, 53.25; H, 3.60; N, 5.28. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3415(vs), 3003(w), 2683(w), 1683(s), 1610(m), 1415(w), 1294(w), 1176(vs), 1114(vs), 1016(w), 875(w), 763(w), 551(w).

2.3. X-ray crystallographic analysis

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data for 1–8 were collected on a Bruker Smart APEX II detector diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at 291(2) K. All diffraction data analysis and reduction were carried out within SMART and SAINT. Correction for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption effects were performed within SADABS. Structures were solved using Patterson method within SHELXS-97 and refined using SHELXL-97. All non-hydrogen atoms were
refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The hydrogen atoms of TCOPM and N-donor ligands, and DMF molecules were positioned with an idealized geometry and refined using a riding model. The hydrogen atoms of coordinated and crystallized water molecules were located from difference Fourier maps and refined as riding model. CCDC numbers: 1444094–1444101 for 1–8. Crystallographic data and structural refinement details for 1–8 are listed in Table S1.$^+$ Selected bond distances and bond angles are given in Table S2.$^+$

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Crystal structures

X-ray crystallography analysis reveals that complex 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic $P2_1/c$ space group (Table S1$^+$ and Fig. 1).

As shown in Fig. 1a, Zn1 is four-coordinated by three carboxylic oxygen atoms from three TCOPM ligands and one hydroxyl oxygen atom, adopting a tetrahedral geometry. The Zn1–O distance is in the range of 1.935(3)–2.199(2) Å. The Zn2 atom adopts a six-coordinated distortedly octahedral environment with two carboxylic oxygen atoms from two TCOPM ligands, two hydroxyl oxygen atoms and two nitrogen atoms from one 2,2’-bipy ligand. The Zn2–O and Zn2–N distances are in the range of 2.077(3)–2.134(3) Å and 2.122(3)–2.151(3) Å, respectively. Zn1 and Zn2 centers are bridged by hydroxyl group to form a Zn4 cluster (Fig. 1b), and these clusters as 4-connected nodes are further linked by TCOPM ligands as 3-connected nodes, generating a 2D layer (Fig. 1c and d).

Complex 2 was obtained when phen was utilized instead of 2,2’-bipy under the synthetic condition similar to 1. Single-crystal structural analysis reveals that the space group and coordination environments of Zn atoms are the same as those for 1 (Fig. 2). The slight difference is that the hydrogen atom from center-carbon of TCOPM is substituted by hydroxy group through an in situ reaction by the nucleophilic addition. Such phenomenon can be frequently observed in hydro(solvo) thermal reactions, resulting in unpredictable products with fascinating topological structures and appealing properties.$^{14}$

The Zn4 clusters are connected through $\nu_{\text{OH}}$-TCOPM ligands to form a 2D layered structure. It should be mentioned that the thickness of layer for 2 is larger than that found in 1. Complex 3 was isolated when tpy with much larger steric hindrance was used instead of 2,2’-bipy. Similar to 1 and 2, complex 3 has also a 2D structure (Fig. 3). Notably, complex 3 adopts a monolayer, which is different to those found in 1 and 2.

To construct the assemblies with high dimensionalities and open frameworks, the pillar ligand dpe was selected instead of chelate ligands. Fortunately, the 3D complex 4 was isolated, which crystallizes in the triclinic $P\overline{1}$ space group. The Zn1 atom is six-coordinated with five carboxylic oxygen atoms from four $\nu_{\text{OH}}$-TCOPM ligands and one nitrogen atom from one dpe ligand, whereas Zn2 has an six-coordinated octahedral geometry with six carboxylic oxygen atoms from six $\nu_{\text{OH}}$-TCOPM ligands (Fig. 4a). Zn1 and Zn2 centers are bridged by carboxylate oxygen atoms to generate a 1D rod structure (Fig. 4b). These rods are further connected by $\nu_{\text{OH}}$-TCOPM and dpe ligands for form a 3D open framework with 1D channels (Fig. 4c).

The same synthesis strategy was employed to construct the Cd(n)-TCOPM system. Complex 5 was obtained in the absence of N-donor ligands, which crystallizes in the monoclinic $P2_1/n$
space group and features a 2D layered structure. Both Cd1 and Cd3 atoms have a distortedly octahedral geometry, coordinated by six carboxylic oxygen atoms from TCOPM ligands (Fig. 5a). Cd2 and Cd4 atoms are six-coordinated by four carboxylic oxygen atoms from three TCOPM ligands, one oxygen atom from one DMF molecule, and one water molecule. Cd centers are bridged by carboxylic oxygen atoms to form a Cd3 cluster (Fig. 5b), which are further connected by TCOPM ligands to generate a 2D layered structure (Fig. 5c and d).

Complexes 6 and 7 were obtained in the presence of pillar ligands 4,4′-bipy and dpe, respectively. Complex 6 crystallizes in the monoclinic P2_1/n space group, featuring a 3D structure. Cd1 is seven-coordinated by six carboxylic oxygen atoms from four TCOPM ligands and one nitrogen atom from one 4,4′-bipy ligand, while Cd2 is six-coordinated octahedral by six carboxylic oxygen atoms from six TCOPM ligands (Fig. 6a). For 7, the Cd1 center is six coordinated with five carboxylic oxygen atoms from four TCOPM ligands, and one nitrogen atom of one dpe ligand, Cd2 is six-coordinated octahedral with six carboxylic oxygen atoms from six TCOPM ligands (Fig. 7a). Similar to 4, Cd1 and Cd2 centers in 6 and 7 are bridged by carboxylic oxygen atoms to form a rod structure (Fig. 6b and 7b), and these rods are further linked by TCOPM and 4,4′-bipy or dpe ligands to generate a 3D open framework with 1D channels (Fig. 6c and 7c).

The use of chelate ligand 2,2′-bipy has afforded the formation of 8, which crystallizes in the triclinic P1 space group. Cd1 is octahedrally coordinated, bound to six oxygen atoms from three αOH-TCOPM ligands (Fig. 8a), whereas Cd2 is seven-coordinated with five carboxylic oxygen atoms from three αOH-TCOPM ligands, and two nitrogen atoms of one 2,2′-bipy ligand. As show in Fig. 8b, Cd1 and Cd2 centers are bridged by carboxylic oxygen atoms to form a Cd3 cluster. These clusters are connected by αOH-TCOPM ligands to form a 2D layer (Fig. 8c and d), similar to complexes 1–3 and 5, where chelate ligands were also used.

It can be seen from above structural analysis that the coordination modes of the tricarboxylic acid ligands and the type of N-donor ligands have significant effects on the final structures of 1–8. On one hand, the tricarboxylic acid ligands in 1–8 have
displayed different coordination modes (Scheme 2). Tricarboxylic acid ligands in 1 and 2 exhibits two bis(monodentate) and one monodentate modes to bridge to adjacent metal centers (Scheme 2a), while one bis(monodentate) and one monodentate mode was observed in 3 (Scheme 2b). Tricarboxylic acid ligands in 4, 5, and 7 possess two bis(monodentate) and a bidentate chelating modes (Scheme 2c), whereas one bis(monodentate) and two tridentate chelating modes were found in 6 and 8 (Scheme 2d). On the other hand, complexes 1–3, 5, and 8 exhibit a 2D layered structure, where chelate N-donor ligands (2,2'-bipy, phen, tpy) were used. Additionally, the type of chelate ligands has also an influence on the thickness of 2D layer. For 4, 6, and 7, a 3D open structure was observed, where pillar N-donor ligands (dpe, 4,4'-bipy) were employed. This result further confirms that pillar ligands facilitate the formation of high-dimensional structures.

3.2. Powder XRD and IR spectra analysis

Powder XRD patterns of α-synthesized 1–8 are in accordance with those simulated from single-crystal XRD data (Fig. S1–S8†), confirming high purities of final products. IR spectra of 1–8 are similar and exhibit broad absorption band at 3400 cm⁻¹, 1666–1620 cm⁻¹ (Fig. S9†), which are typical of -COO⁻ vibration bands. The red-shift in contrast to that for the free TCOPM (1701 cm⁻¹) is attributed to the coordination of TCOPM to metal atoms. The absorption bands at 1398–1598 cm⁻¹ and 1429–1355 cm⁻¹ indicate the asymmetric vibrations and symmetric vibrations of the aromatic ring skeletal vibrations.

3.3. Luminescent properties

The luminescent properties in the solid state of 1–4, 7, 8 and the free TCOMP ligand were investigated at room temperature (Fig. 9 and S10–S16†). Upon excitation at 344 nm, a maximum emission peak at 446 nm for the TCOPM ligand is observed, which can be attributed to the π* → π or π* → n electronic transition. For 1–4, 7, and 8, the emission peaks are observed at 348 nm (λex = 324 nm), 364 nm (λex = 270 nm), 357 nm (λex = 298 nm), 398 nm (λex = 343 nm), 374 nm (λex = 270 nm), and 378 nm (λex = 341 nm), respectively. These emissions are neither ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) nor metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) in nature because Zn and Cd atoms are difficult to be oxidized or reduced.³⁷ The enhancement of luminescence intensities compared to the free TCOPM observed in these complexes, especially in 4 and 8, is perhaps a result of the intraligand π* → π or π* → n fluorescence emission of the free TCOPM ligand. Furthermore, the emission bands of these complexes are similar to that of the free TCOPM but the maximum values of emission show blue shifts by 68–98 nm, which may be caused by a change in the HOMO and LUMO energy levels of the ligands coordination to metal centers and a charge-transfer transition between ligands and metal centers. The emission decay lifetimes (τ) of complexes 1–4, 7 and 8 are investigated, and the curves are best fitted by monoeponentials in the solid, that is, complex 1 (τ = 1.13 ns, χ² = 1.092, Fig. S17†), complex 2 (τ = 1.96 ns, χ² = 1.057, Fig. S18†), complex 3 (τ = 1.63 ns, χ² = 1.100, Fig. S19†), complex 4, (τ = 1.22 ns, χ² = 1.087, Fig. S20†), complex 7, (τ = 0.98 ns, χ² = 1.075, Fig. S21†) and complex 8, (τ = 1.23 ns, χ² = 1.046, Fig. S22†). In addition, all the complexes show a lower absolute fluorescence quantum efficiency (Φ), that is, complex 1 (Φ = 0.08), complex 1 (Φ = 0.11), complex 1 (Φ = 0.07), complex 1 (Φ = 0.03), complex 1 (Φ = 0.09) and complex 1 (Φ = 0.05),
which can be attributed to excited-state distortion and vibrational quenching.\textsuperscript{18}

4. Conclusions

In summary, eight Zn(II) and Cd(II) complexes (1–8) constructed from the flexible C-centered triangular multicarboxylate TCOPM and different N-donor ligands have been successfully designed and prepared through the solvothermal reaction. The coordination modes of the tricarboxylic acid ligands and the type of N-donor ligands have significant effects on the structures of final products. In our case, 1–3, 5 and 8 exhibit a 2D layered structure, while 4 and 6–7 possess a 3D open framework. Luminescent studies show that the emissions are significantly enhanced for 1–4, 7 and 8 compared to the free TCOPM. The present contribution provides a useful strategy to construct assemblies with different topologies by employing aromatic multicarboxylate and N-donor mixed ligands.
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